

## Tender Addendum

---

<b>Tender No.:</b>	TASEZ/RFP018/2025
<b>Tender Description.:</b>	Design and Construction of a Top Structure Facility for the Phase 2 Programme
<b>Tender Addendum No.:</b>	RFP018/2025
<b>Tender Addendum Title.:</b>	Addendum 002

---

**Bidders to note the following corrections and implement the corrections as per this addendum.**

### 1. Location of facility

**Tenderer's Question:** We are unable to find information confirming the location of this project within Phase 2.

**TASEZ** Tenderers were invited for site viewing after the briefing, the site was shown to  
**Response** the tenderers who went for the viewing.

### 2. Attendance at the compulsory site briefing

**Tenderer's Question:** Slide 4 – Each company to introduce and sign the register. Only one member must sign the register on behalf of the company

**TASEZ** At least one representative of the company to sign the briefing register. In case  
**Response** of JVs, if it does not reflect as a JV on the briefing register, each member of the JV must have signed the register.

### 3. RFP Numbers on the Presentation V/S RFP Document

**Tenderer's Question:** This description does not sync with Slide 13. The presentation has a different RFP number to the one on the RFP document.

**TASEZ** The RFP document takes precedence over the Presentation/ slides shared at the  
**Response** briefing. The slides were only for presentation and information purposes. The RFP document takes precedence. The RFP Number is RFP/018/2025.

### 4. Closing Date and Enquiries of the Bid

**Tenderers' Question:** We respectfully request an extension to the current tender closing date. Additional time is required for our team to perform the necessary investigations and to develop the associated concepts, feasibility studies, tender layouts, and technical components required for a comprehensive and competitive submission.

Granting this extension will help ensure that our proposal fully meets the tender's technical, commercial, and design requirements.

Slide 14 – Need to clarify until when queries may be submitted as the slide indicates TBA.

- TASEZ** - The closing date for the bid is **extended with 14 calendar days to the 08<sup>th</sup> of May 2026 @ 12:00 pm** and closing date for all queries pertaining to the bid will be the **17<sup>th</sup> of April 2026**.
- Response:**
- It is important that whoever is assigned the responsibility to submit the bid, allocates sufficient time which includes the process of entry at the CSIR entrance, which can take over an hour at times depending on the traffic.
  - Tenderers to ensure that they sign the submission register when they submit their tender.

## 5. Business Case

**Tenderer's Question:** Point 1 of slide 6 suggest a business case to be done, point 2 - states that it is being implemented, does this mean the design etc. has already been done? Point 3 – states that a design and funding application is to be done. Who is to develop and submit the funding application, and who will bear the costs of the design and submission of such application? The RFP document on page 6 includes internal roads as well as a new reservoir (design and construction).

- TASEZ** Tenderers are not expected to conduct the business case as this was already done by TASEZ
- Response**

## 6. Submission of Concepts

**Tenderers Question:** Slides 11 & 12 – require that concept designs for all top structures, civils, roads, electrical, landscaping, perimeter fencing guard house, security etc. be submitted for approval by the Client / Employer. Who will pay for all of the professional input to reach this stage. This is a massive cost for the professional team with no certainty of success.

- TASEZ** - The annexed drawings and layouts or those in the RFP document are provided as guidelines and for information purposes only and may not be considered as designs for the facility.
- Response**
- Tenderers must submit the designs for TASEZ's Qualitative / Risk Assessment.

- The cost for the preparation and submission of the tender is for the tenderers account, and this may not be claimed or charged to/from TASEZ.

## 7. Size of the Facility

**Tenderers Question:** Slide 1 - Appointment of a Service Provider for the Construction of the 50 000 sqm Top Structure Facility for the TASEZ Phase 2 Programme.

**TASEZ** The Scope of Work is only limited to the 50 000 sqm facility, including its supporting  
**Response** infrastructure inside the perimeter fence of the facility. Tenderers to note the future 20 000 sqm expansion requirement.

## 8. CIDB Grading

**Tenderers Question:** Slide 17 – only alludes to a CIDB Gr 9 or higher, and does not include any electrical contractor?

**TASEZ** - Only CIDB Grade 9 GB will be accepted for the bid. Where JVs are formed,  
**Response** tenderers to ensure that the JV CIDB calculations is at Grade 9 GB.  
 - All requirements within the RFP documents are guided by the magnitude and complexity of the project.

## 9. ISO 9001 Certification for the Contractor and the Professional (Engineering) Team

**Tenderers Question:** Slide 18 – A requirement for ISO 9001 valid for 3 years, is this applicable to both Contractor and Lead Professional?

**TASEZ** - Where Tenderers enter into a JV or Consortium agreements, both the  
**Response** Contractor and the Engineering Firm must submit their separate ISO 9001 certificates.  
 - ISO 9001 certification is valid for 3 years as per the requirements of SANAS and ISO Standard Organisation.

## 10. Onerous Performance Bond and PI

**Tenderers Question:** Slide 18 – Onerous Performance bond and PI requirements with the performance bond required of 10% of the contract value, and PI cover of R100 million each and every claim.

**TASEZ** Technical and functional requirements within the RFP documents are guided by  
**Response** the magnitude and complexity of the project.

## 11. SMME Participation Criteria

**Tenderers Question:** Slides 20 to 37, strict participation criteria, administrative, labour, SMME, professional and technical. Etc.

**TASEZ Response** All requirements within the RFP documents are in line with the magnitude and complexity of the project. Tenderers to refer to the TASEZ SMME specification.

## 12. Tender Drawings

### **Tenderers Question:**

12.1. Page 7 of the tender document refers to tender drawings; however, these have not been included in the documents received. Kindly provide the full set of tender drawings to allow our technical team to proceed with their assessments.

12.2. With reference to the attached email, we confirm that we had been advised, at the site inspection that the services drawings with platform details and road access information was included in the tender pack provided by the client. This information is currently not in the annexures contained within your website link. Please can we be provided with the drawings as listed below

Table 4: List of Tender **Drawings**

<b>List of Drawings Appendix C</b>	
<b>Drawing Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- GN- 00- 01	General Notes Layout
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- LP- 00- 01	General Layout
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- BE- 00- 01	Bulk Earthworks Layout
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- RW- 00- 01	Retaining Wall Layout
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- RP- 00- 01	Road Layout
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- SW- 00- 01	Stormwater Layout
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- WA- 00- 01	Water and Fire Water Layout
TASEZ/528/23-S- CIV- 000- SE- 00- 01	Sewer Layout
230901-001	Topographical Survey PTN 3 Of Farm No. 340 - Jr Sheet 1 of 4
230901-002	Topographical Survey PTN 3 Of Farm No. 340 - Jr Sheet 2 Of 4
230901-003	Topographical Survey PTN 3 Of Farm No. 340 - Jr Sheet 3 of 4
230901-004	Topographical Survey PTN 3 Of Farm No. 340 - Jr Sheet 4 Of 4
230901-005	Topographical Survey PTN 3 Of Farm No. 340 - Jr - Sheet Layout

**TASEZ** Table 4 is superseded by the tender drawings found on the TASEZ website,  
**Response** Annexure C

### 13. COEGA Bid

**Tenderers Question:** Could you please confirm whether this is the same Ford Outbound Facility tender that was priced for COEGA in 2024, or if this is a separate project located within the same precinct?

**TASEZ** This is a bid by TASEZ for the said scope of work and there is no reference of any  
**Response** COEGA bid in the document. TASEZ does not have the authority to respond on behalf of other institutions. Please refer the question to the said institution.

### 14. Bulk Services

**Tenderers Question: Could you please confirm:**

- The exact location of the main supply feeding the facility, and
- Whether the client has provided sufficient bulk capacity to meet the required loads stipulated for this project. This information is essential for the completion of our design development, electrical assessments, and coordination.

**TASEZ** All bulk services supply points will be at the boarder of the facility where the  
**Response** successful tenderers will tap in. Tenderers can propose the exact locations for discussion during the design stage. The annexed drawings (Annexure C) provide guidance for the tapping points. Where the drawings are not provided, the tenderer must propose.

### 15. Construction Period

**Tenderers Question:** Please confirm the correct contract duration. The Contract Data indicates a 10-month construction period, whereas Page 11 of the tender document states 18 months. Kindly clarify which duration should be used for pricing and programme purposes.

**TASEZ** The construction period is eighteen (18) months.  
**Response**

### 16. Request for Adjustment of the Functionality Criteria Relating to Project Experience

**Tenderers Question:** You have requested Three (3) reference letters from of the completed construction of a large-scale industrial warehouse and production facilities including Bulk Earthworks, Internal & External Engineering services, that are comparable

to the required Facility in terms of complexity, size, value and construction durations. The Project Values should not be less than R200M per Project

We wish to confirm the following:

- While we have successfully completed numerous warehouse projects, our portfolio does not include three warehouse-specific projects exceeding R200 million each.
- However, we have delivered multiple other construction projects well above R200 million, demonstrating our capability to successfully execute projects of similar scale, complexity, and technical requirements.

**Tenderers Request:**

We respectfully request confirmation that we may include completed non-warehouse projects above R200 million (e.g., large commercial, industrial, or infrastructure developments) as part of our functionality submission, in order to score the maximum points available, where the complexity, technical scope, and project scale are aligned with the Employer's requirements.

These projects, although not warehouses, provide clear evidence of our capacity to:

- Deliver major construction works exceeding R200 million
- Execute bulk earthworks and engineering services
- Meet demanding programme and quality requirements

**TASEZ Response**      TASEZ has clarified the requirements on functionality to eliminate any possible ambiguity.

**3.1. Company Experience on Page 34 of the RFP**

References Letters - Construction	No. of Letters	Points Claimable
<p>The Tenderer must submit <b>Three (3)</b> reference letters of completed construction of <b>large-scale building facilities and / or industrial projects</b>, including Bulk Earthworks, Internal &amp; External Engineering services, that are comparable to the required Facility in terms of complexity, size, value and construction durations.</p> <p><b>The Project Values should not be less than R200M per Project.</b></p> <p>These letters must therefore contain relevant information and should be on the referee's letterhead with contactable references (email and telephone numbers) and signed by the referee.</p> <p>The projects should have been completed within the past <b>10 years</b>.</p>	3 References of a similar completed project	<b>10</b>
	2 References of a similar completed project	7
	1 References of a similar completed project	3
	No reference provided	0

<b>Total Points Claimable</b>		<b>10</b>	
References Providers (PSPs)	Letters – Professional Service	No. of Letters	Points Claimable
The Tenderer must submit <b>Three (3)</b> reference letters from of the completed PSP Services of a <b>large-scale Building facilities and / or industrial projects</b> , including Bulk Earthworks,		3 References of a similar completed project	<b>10</b>
Internal & External Engineering services, that are comparable to the required Facility in terms of complexity, size, value and construction durations.  <b>The Project Values should not be less than R200M per Project.</b>  These letters must therefore contain relevant information and should be on the referee's letterhead with contactable references (email and telephone numbers) and signed by the referee.  The projects should have been completed within the past <b>10 years</b> .		2 References of a similar completed project	7
		1 References of a similar completed project	3
		No reference provided	0
<b>Total Points Claimable</b>		<b>10</b>	

### 17. Experience of the Key Personnel

**Tenderers Question:** Kindly advise if the **construction manager** regarding "FIDIC Silverbook 2017" needs to have completed a project **OR** it can be an ongoing project?  
See below

**3.3. Company Key Resources Qualifications – Tenderers to attach Copies of the Qualifications. All non-South African (foreign) qualifications must be converted to the South African qualification standards (SAGA), failure to do this will render the qualification null and void.**

These are the same resources as per the above		
Key Resource	Qualifications NB – International qualifications must be SAGA converted	Points Claimable
Contractor's Representative with FIDIC Silver book 2017 experience Contractor's Representative (Professional Construction Project Manager / Professional Construction Manager / Professional Engineer registered with SACPCMP & ECSA with FIDIC Silver book 2017 experience  Name: _____	NGF 7 (B. Eng. / B. Tech / BSc Degree) or Higher in Engineering	<b>3</b>
	NGF 6 – Diploma in Engineering (Technikon)	<b>2</b>
	No submission	<b>0</b>

**TASEZ Response** The experience referred to is to FIDIC Silver Book without necessarily limiting to the 2017 version. An ongoing project will be accepted provided they indicate the period of exposure on FIDIC Silver Book.

Prepared by: SCM

